

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

A regular meeting of the City Council was held in the City Council Chamber, 900 East Strawbridge Avenue, and was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Vice Mayor Ed Palmer.

1. Tim McCurdy, First Assembly of God gave the invocation.
2. All present gave the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.
3. Roll Call.

Present:	Ed Palmer	Vice Mayor, District 2
	Priscilla M. Poole	Council Member, District 3
	Grace Walker	Council Member, District 4
	Cheryl Palmer	Council Member, District 5
	Loretta Isenberg-Hand	Council Member, District 6
	Henry J. Hill	City Manager
	Paul R. Gougelman, III	City Attorney
	Cathleen A. Wysor	City Clerk
	Bud Emerson	Assistant City Manager
	Peggy Braz	Planning and Zoning Administrator

Absent: John A. Buckley Mayor (vacation)

4. PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

“National Safe Boating Week”, May 20-26, 2000; accepted by John Kuehne, Jr., Flotilla Commander, and Jim Anthony, Public Affairs Officer, U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary, South Brevard Flotilla 42.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES April 11, 2000 Recessed Regular Meeting
April 11, 2000 Regular Meeting

Moved by Hand/Walker for approval. Motion carried unanimously.

6. CITY MANAGER’S REPORT

Mr. Palmer asked Mr. Hill to comment on the COPS grant fund. Mr. Hill said the city is not planning to request COPS-Universal Hiring Grant funds to fund additional police officers because we are still absorbing the full cost of 15 positions hired under prior grant years. The amount of funds decreases each year and eventually the city will have to bear the full cost. In the 2000-2001 budget year, we will need an additional \$230,000 in order to keep the officers we have hired. Staff’s feeling is that until we absorb the entire cost, we don’t want to add an additional obligation.

Council agreed with this direction.

Council discussed the possible relocation of the Melbourne Shuffleboard Club (located at Front Street) to Trailer Haven. Council had approved approximately \$10,000 to resurface

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

and fence the Front Street courts. That funding, along with an additional \$14,000, would allow improvements to be made at the Trailer Haven facility to accommodate the move; the proposal will return to Council soon. The idea is to have this occur prior to October 1.

Council Members expressed support for this.

7. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

8. ORDINANCE NO. 2000-28 (CU-2000-02/Coptic Orthodox Church): (Public Hearing/Second Reading) A proposed ordinance to implement a conditional use for a church in an R-2 (One-, Two-, and Multiple-Family Residential) zoning district on seven lots totaling 1.4 acres located on Guava Avenue, south of Law Street and north of Creel Street. (Owner/Applicant – Archangel Michael Coptic Orthodox Church and the Estate of Margie C. Allen, Trustee) (Representatives – Odette Girgis, Nazieh Yacomb and Mark J. Allen) (First Reading 4/25/00)

Attorney Gougelman read Ordinance No. 2000-28 by title. There were no comments from the public.

Moved by Walker/C. Palmer for approval of Ordinance No. 2000-28. The roll call vote was:

Aye: Poole, Walker, C. Palmer, Hand, and E. Palmer

Nay: None

Motion carried unanimously.

9. ORDINANCE NO. 2000-29 (A&V #232): (Public Hearing/Second Reading) A proposed ordinance to abandon and vacate two feet of a 20-foot wide public utility and drainage easement along the south property line of Lot 37, Rio Villa Subdivision. (Applicant - Richard L. and Barbara S. Young) (First Reading 4/25/00)

Mr. Gougelman read Ordinance No. 2000-29 by title. There were no comments from the audience.

Moved by C. Palmer/Hand for approval of Ordinance No. 2000-29. The roll call vote was:

Aye: Poole, Walker, C. Palmer, Hand, and E. Palmer

Nay: None

Motion carried unanimously.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

10. ORDINANCE NO. 2000-31: (Public Hearing/Second Reading) A proposed ordinance amending Chapter 1 of the City Code, entitled, "General Provisions," in accordance with Section 3 Code Review Committee recommendations. (First Reading 4/25/00)

Mr. Gougelman read Ordinance No. 2000-31 by title. There were no public comments.

Moved by Poole/Walker for approval of Ordinance No. 2000-31. The roll call vote was:

Aye: Poole, Walker, C. Palmer, Hand, and E. Palmer

Nay: None

Motion carried unanimously.

11. ORDINANCE NO. 2000-32: (Public Hearing/Second Reading) A proposed ordinance amending Chapter 2 of the Code of Ordinances entitled "Administration," in accordance with Section 3 Code Review Committee recommendations. (First Reading 4/25/00)

The attorney read Ordinance No. 2000-32 by title. There were no comments from the audience.

Moved by Hand/Walker for approval of Ordinance No. 2000-32.

Mrs. Poole referenced Section 2-16, Mayor and Council salary, and stated she is opposed to amending this section. (The section provides for a review of the salary through updated survey information.) She added that elected officials provide a service and she believes members are well compensated; additionally, increasing the salary may change the reason why a person would serve. She asked Council not to take the same route the County Commission took and open the city up for criticism.

Mrs. Hand said the amendment provides that the salary shall be fixed by vote of Mayor and Council. It will not hurt to review the salary and it does not mean that Council will vote to support an increase.

Mr. Palmer agreed and said it is an option. He does not believe a change would entice anyone to serve on Council. Mrs. Poole disagreed and said she doesn't know anyone who has ever turned down a raise.

Mrs. Palmer commented that most of the salaries Council reviews and approves in the budget are set through salary survey information. She said she believes this is fair and equitable and added that Council's workload and responsibilities are increasing.

Mrs. Poole stated that we have many people who volunteer their time on our boards. It would not set a good example if Council increases its salary.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

Mrs. Walker said this change would give Council the option of reviewing the salary and, if necessary, provide for an increase. She stated that members serve the city and serve the city well.

Mr. Gougelman stated that if Council adopts this ordinance, staff would ask Council to confirm their current salaries until the salaries are reviewed.

Mr. Hill said the Code Review Committee had a lot of discussion on this issue. Some committee members felt the salary should be immediately raised; however, they compromised and agreed that a study should be done.

Continuing, Mr. Hill referenced two additional changes to the ordinance since the last meeting: 1) expanding on the definition of professional services; and 2) further clarifying public improvement and professional service contracts. Mr. Hill added that the idea is to not add or inadvertently delete any duties of the Purchasing Division.

Mrs. Hand asked about several references to the word “he” throughout Chapter 2. Mrs. Wysor explained that Chapter 1, General Provisions, of the City Code provides that any reference to “he” also extends to females, corporations, organizations, etc.

The maker/seconder agreed to the two changes in the ordinance referenced by Mr. Hill.

Mrs. Palmer asked how long Council has received a salary. Mr. Hill said he presumes back to the consolidation of the cities. Mrs. Wysor added that the current salaries were established in 1973.

Council Members complimented the members of the Code Review Committee for their work on the ordinance and stated the changes are long overdue.

The question was called. The roll call vote was:

Aye: Walker, C. Palmer, Hand, and E. Palmer

Nay: Poole

Motion carried.

Mrs. Poole voted nay because of the change to the section on Mayor and Council salaries.

Moved by Poole/Walker to confirm the present salaries of Mayor and Council (\$7,200/year for the Mayor and \$5,200/year for Council). Motion carried unanimously.

In response to Mrs. Palmer, Mr. Gougelman said the intent is to return with the data that was presented to the Code Review Committee with regard to salary comparisons.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

Moved by Walker/Hand for Council to review its salary prior to adoption of the next budget. Motion carried unanimously.

12. ORDINANCE NO. 2000-30 (Settlement of Litigation): (Public Hearing/Second Reading) A proposed ordinance amending Chapter 2, City Code, relating to the settlement of lawsuits and claims against the City. (First Reading 4/25/00)

The attorney read Ordinance No. 2000-30 by title. There were no comments from the audience.

Moved by Poole/Hand for approval of Ordinance No. 2000-30.

Mrs. Palmer asked how often Council would receive a report from the attorney on lawsuits and claims. Attorney Gougelman said he would provide a quarterly update and in cases of high profile litigation, a report may be prepared more often.

The question was called. The roll call vote was:

Aye: Poole, Walker, C. Palmer, Hand and E. Palmer

Nay: None

Motion carried unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS

13. COUNCIL ACTION RE: New Maintenance Building at Lipscomb Park, Project No. 0003, Certified General Contractors, Inc., Melbourne, FL - \$78,091.92.

Mr. Hill reviewed the agenda report. This is a Community Development Block Grant funded project and is a contract to construct a new maintenance building at Lipscomb Park. The 2,400 square foot building will be constructed in the northeast corner of the park along Monroe Street. The building will house mowers and other park equipment and will replace a building that is very old and deteriorated.

The low bid was submitted by Certified General Contractors, Inc. The contractor will have a 90-day construction period.

Moved by Walker/Hand for award of the building construction contract to Certified General Contractors, Inc. in the amount of \$78,091.92. Motion carried unanimously.

14. COUNCIL ACTION RE: Consent Agenda

Moved by C. Palmer/Hand for approval of the consent agenda, items “a – h.” Motion carried unanimously.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

- a. Resolution No. 1636: A resolution providing for the adoption of fees contained in Chapter 2 so that fees which are necessary and sufficient to compensate for the time and expense of processing various applications and services can be established.
 - b. Resolution No. 1637: A resolution to advance funds on certain water/sewer projects which will be reimbursed from bond proceeds.
 - c. Contract for disclosure counsel, Miller & Olive, P.A, Orlando, FL – at a flat fee of \$23,000 plus out-of-pocket expenses not to exceed \$3,500.
 - d. Contract with William R. Hough & Co. as Senior Managing Underwriter and Salomon Smith Barney as Co-Managing Underwriters for the Water and Sewer Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2000.
 - e. Purchase of an IBM S/390 integrated server (\$77,473), installation (\$7,200) and second/third year maintenance (\$6,268.73), T3 Technologies, Tampa, FL - \$90,941.73.
 - f. Contracts for roof replacement of eight city buildings, Commercial Roofing, Inc., Melbourne (\$118,143.90) and Hamilton Roofing, Inc., (\$56,119) for a total cost of \$174,262.90.
 - g. Purchase of two Thompson Model 6TSV-DJDRT-4045K-SK, 6-inch vacuum assisted portable sewage pumps and selected optional equipment, Thompson Pump & Mfg. Co., Inc., Port Orange, FL - \$65,600.00.
 - h. Change Order No. 2 to D. B. Lee Wastewater Treatment Plant Exploratory/Monitor Well, Project No. C94310, Jaffer Associates, Ltd., Miami, FL - \$17,200.00.
15. SITE PLAN APPROVAL (SP-2000-02/JDS Uniphase Facility): (Public Hearing) A request for site plan approval for a proposed 200,000 square foot industrial/manufacturing space located on the north side of Hibiscus Boulevard, east of the L-2 Canal and west of Rockwell Collins. (Owner/Applicant – Melbourne Airport Authority/JDS Uniphase/Eric Neitzke) (Representative – Jeff Crowe, BRPH Engineers) (P&Z 4/27/00)

Mrs. Braz briefed Council. The property consists of 20 acres. The Airport Authority and the applicant have been successful in securing a State of Florida Economic Development Grant to build a road to serve the site. The road will provide access to the site from NASA Boulevard and Hibiscus Boulevard. The complete building program will retain 140 good quality jobs and create 600 additional jobs.

The site has a future land use of mixed-use Industrial/Commercial and is surrounded by the same use on the east, north, and west. There is multi-family residential zoning in the City of West Melbourne across Hibiscus on the south. The property is zoned M-1 (Light

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

Industrial). Adjoining lands house major employers with manufacturing and design facilities.

The site is served by Hibiscus Boulevard, which is an arterial road maintained by the city; it currently operates well above level of service “C” at 15,000+/- average daily trips (ADT) and is capable of accepting up to 30,400 ADT. The access road allows for the site to also be served by NASA Boulevard, which is operating above level of service “C” at 18,000+/- ADT and is capable of accepting up to 33,400 ADT.

The applicant has proposed a two-phase development to occur over a 36-month period. The site plan incorporates the preliminary access road design being prepared at present by the Airport Authority Project Engineer so that the turning lanes and median work proposed for Hibiscus Boulevard are incorporated within the applicant’s proposal. The off-site work will be completed by the time that the first building is occupied.

Off-site work involves not only the construction of the access road, but also the relocation of a portion of the L-2 Canal, (which must also be approved by Brevard County) and the relocation of a substantial waterline. The city and the Airport Authority will coordinate the relocation of the waterline. The off-site engineering is provided for information and is not part of the site plan approval.

The Airport Authority has stated that the monitoring of the landfill has not identified any troublesome outflows from the site. The Airport Authority expects to be able to formally close the landfill with the Department of Environmental Protection’s approval in the next two years. An Environmental Impact Assessment has been done and three gopher tortoises will be relocated on-site to areas where no development will occur.

The Planning and Zoning Board and staff recommended approval with the following stipulations:

- a. Any change to the site plan will require reevaluation of the site plan by the City Engineering Department and the Planning and Zoning Department. Any substantial change to the site plan will require review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Board, Local Planning Agency, and the City Council. A substantial change includes but is not limited to: a) a decrease of 10% of the open space or vegetative areas on site, and b) any addition of a driveway not shown on the proposed plan.
- b. All trees over 4” in diameter shall be preserved unless located in a roadway, parking area, drainage facility, or building pad. Trees in the footprint of proposed improvements shall be removed only in conjunction with a building permit. All trees to be preserved must be protected by barricades at the drip line during construction.
- c. Design of the access road and the drainageway relocation must be approved by the City Engineer prior to construction.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

- d. The impacted gopher tortoises (3) will be relocated on site as permitted by current regulations.

Mrs. Poole asked who will pay for moving the waterline and the canal. Regarding the waterline, Mrs. Braz said it will be a combination of the city and the airport based on the number of years the waterline has remaining.

Mr. Hill explained that the roadway will be paid for by the grant. Discussion is still underway with the Airport Authority to determine if sufficient funds are available for the road to be built to standards to be dedicated as a full public roadway to the city. The relocation of the canal will be the responsibility of the Airport Authority. The waterline runs through the middle of the property; it is a substantially sized cast iron main that is over 30 years old. The discussion with the Airport Authority has been that it would probably be appropriate for us to replace the line and we would look to pro-rate and share the cost with the Airport Authority. The cost would be based on the useful life remaining in the line and the expense to relocate the line. The cost has not been determined yet; the item will return to Council.

Mrs. Poole asked if the Economic Development Commission of Brevard County would be willing to pay a portion of the waterline. Mr. Hill said they do not give grants in that regard; they make recommendations to the County Commission on property taxes. The county has granted an abatement of county taxes as an inducement to build in that location. Mrs. Poole said that is of no help to the city. She added that it seems they would have the details of moving the canal worked out prior to approaching the city for approval. Mr. Hill replied that they have had initial discussions with the county and they believe they can accomplish that.

Jeff Crowe, BRPH Architects Engineers, explained the project. He noted that there are large specimen trees that they have worked into the design in order to save. Additionally, they will save trees inside the property wherever they are able. He explained the retention, both dry and wet, located throughout the property.

Mrs. Walker asked what will be manufactured at this location. Mr. Crowe responded that they will work with fiberoptic transmission components. It will be a clean room manufacturing and assembly. Mrs. Walker referenced the landfill site. Mr. Crowe said they are building on what has been indicated as clean property.

Mr. Crowe concluded by agreeing with the stipulations.

Mrs. Poole stated that she is glad the tortoises will be relocated on site rather than being moved to another county. She asked if the manufacturing process would result in any special waste that has to be containerized. Mr. Crowe said no and added that they will be putting components together, boxing, and shipping.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

Mrs. Hand and Mr. Palmer disclosed that they serve on the Airport Authority. They added that they have not had a discussion with anyone about this project that would impact their decision. Mrs. Poole asked if this item has appeared before the Airport Authority for review and Mrs. Hand replied that she did not think so.

Vice Mayor Palmer opened the public hearing. There were no comments from the audience.

Moved by Walker/Hand for approval of the site plan subject to the proposed stipulations.

Mrs. Palmer stated that she hopes careful consideration is given in moving the canal.

The question was called. Motion carried unanimously.

16. COUNCIL ACTION RE: Renewal of contract for annual independent audit services for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2000 and 2001, Hoyman, Dobson & Company, Melbourne, at a fee not to exceed \$69,700 including out-of-pocket expenses.

Mr. Hill reviewed the agenda report. Hoyman, Dobson & Company's contract for annual independent audit services expired with the completion of the audit of the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999. In their 1997 proposal they offered to extend the contract for two additional years at the same price, which is a maximum of \$69,700 including out of pocket expenses. This fee is less than was paid for audit services for the years ended September 30, 1995 and 1996.

The firm has provided excellent service to the city. They are very responsive and have been available at a moment's notice during the year.

Mrs. Palmer expressed support for the recommendation since the proposed fee is less than what was paid in 1995 and 1996.

Moved by C. Palmer/Poole to authorize the city to exercise the option to renew the contract for two additional years (audits of the fiscal years ending September 30, 2000 and 2001) at the existing contract price of a maximum of \$69,700 including out of pocket expenses.

Mr. Palmer asked Mr. Hill to comment on changes expected from GASB (Governmental Accounting Standards Board). Mr. Hill explained that this contract is timely because the accounting requirements will change in two years (2002). It will be very likely that the amount and scope of the audit will be substantially altered and we would go through a complete selection process at that time.

Mrs. Poole agreed that the current auditors are thorough and have a good system in place.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

After a brief discussion, Council asked the City Manager to schedule a presentation on the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ending September 30, 1999 for the May 23 agenda.

Mrs. Walker asked the out of pocket expenses for the last audit. From the audience, Ms. Elliott confirmed that they did not have any.

Mr. Palmer said his initial response was that it was time to change auditors. However, an RFP was done three years ago and the current auditors were selected by Council. Additionally, it would make more sense to schedule an RFP in two years because of the changes expected in the audit process. He agreed with continuing the contract.

The question was called. Motion carried unanimously.

17. RESOLUTION NO. 1638: A resolution to amend the 1995-1998 Housing Assistance Plan (HAP) and adjustments to the 1997-98 and 1998-99 SHIP budgets and authorization for the City Manager to submit the amended HAP to the Florida Housing Finance Corporation and to take all actions necessary to implement the changes.

Attorney Gougelman read Resolution No. 1638 by title. Mr. Hill briefed Council.

Mrs. Poole asked how many cities in Brevard County participate in the SHIP and CDBG funding. Mr. Hill explained that Melbourne, Palm Bay, Titusville, and Cocoa are considered entitlement communities for the CDBG program. Mrs. Thomas added that only the entitlement communities receive SHIP funding. Brevard County receives the SHIP funding for the remainder of the cities. The criteria for being an entitlement community involves population threshold, level of income, etc.

Moved by Hand/C. Palmer for approval of Resolution No. 1638.

Mrs. Walker asked the process and requirements for people interested in applying for the purchase assistance program. Mrs. Thomas explained the process, which is administered by Community Housing Initiative.

The question was called. Motion carried unanimously.

Recessed: 8:56 p.m.
Reconvened: 9:07 p.m.

18. COUNCIL ACTION RE: A request for consideration of Brevard County's proposed Local Government Infrastructure Surtax

Mr. Hill briefed Council and explained that the Brevard County Commission has requested the City Council's consideration of the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

The Department of Revenue projected revenues to the City of Melbourne of \$2,273,820 or \$4,547,641 based on the levying of the 0.5% or 1% surtax respectively (one-half cent to one cent per dollar). Funding from the tax and accrued interest may be used only for the following:

- Financing, planning and construction of infrastructure.
- Funding general public purpose economic development projects for improving local economies.
- Acquiring land for public recreation, conservation or protection.
- Under specific conditions, financing the closure of solid waste landfills.

Under limited circumstances, funding for operation expenses of infrastructure is authorized. As of July 1999, 28 counties levied this surtax at 1% with the exception of Bay and Hillsborough Counties levying at 0.5%.

This levy requires a county ordinance by a majority of the county commissioners and approved by voters in a county-wide referendum. In the absence of action by the board, municipalities representing a majority of the county's population may adopt uniform resolutions calling for a county-wide referendum. If the referendum is approved, the levy will take effect.

The county is required to share the process with the municipalities based on a population formula. An interlocal agreement specifying a different apportionment of the funds can be implemented.

Brevard County has specifically asked for feedback on the following:

1. Should the amount be one-half cent or one cent?
2. What should the term be (a term of up to 15 years is permissible)?
3. How would the city use the funds?
4. Should any of the funding be shared with the School Board?

The county made a similar request in 1991 and Council agreed to support the proposed referendum. In 1996 the county proposed a specific ballot question to use the funds solely for construction of a new county jail. Council declined to support that proposal.

At the amounts of revenue indicated, the city stands to gain substantial resources to be used for capital needs. As discussed at our budget philosophy meeting in March, considerable progress has been made in meeting the city's capital needs. However, several substantial unfunded needs exist. Funds from the sales tax could be used to meet those needs along with acquiring and improving park facilities, enhancing the efforts in our redevelopment areas, additional funding for stormwater and transportation improvements, and possibly providing a police ready car program (applicability would have to be further researched).

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

Mr. Hill added that he learned that Palm Bay has recommended a one-cent, 15-year tax, with proceeds not being shared with the School Board unless they came from the county's share.

Mr. Palmer stated that the issue is whether to place this on the November ballot. The county is asking the city to answer the four questions listed.

Mrs. Hand asked if the Space Coast League of Cities discussed this. Mr. Gougelman replied no.

Mrs. Palmer pointed out that one option was left out of the proposal – no increase.

Mrs. Poole referenced the county eliminating impact fees and stated that this is the county's way of getting out of doing what they should be doing. She referenced the city's list of proposed uses and identified funding sources, including grant funds, for each. She added that the city is not ready for the police ready car program. Mrs. Poole asked that Melbourne's name not be associated with the tax increase.

Mr. Palmer said the voters would decide this issue. Mrs. Palmer agreed that we have an ailing infrastructure; however, we also have some people in the community who are taxed at almost 50% of their income. She added that land acquisition and patrol cars have nothing to do with infrastructure. Since there will not be a large reduction in any other area, she cannot support this. Additionally, she pointed out that with regard to "giving the people the right to vote", a large percentage of the voters never make it to the polls.

Mrs. Hand and Mrs. Walker stated they are not in favor of supporting this tax.

Moved by Poole/C. Palmer to send a "no" vote to the county in reference to the sales tax issue. Motion carried unanimously.

19. COUNCIL ACTION RE: (Edward Byrne Grant): A request for consideration of proposed allocation to Brevard County from the Florida Department of Community Affairs Federal Drug Control and System Improvement Program (Edward Byrne) funds totaling \$360,441.00 for FY 2000-2001.

From the agenda report: The Department of Community Affairs has federal (Edward Byrne) funds available totaling \$14,158,119 for FY 2000/2001. These funds are to be utilized to implement local drug control and system improvement projects designed to reduce the production, transfer and use of controlled substances, reduce the incidence of drug-related violent crimes, and make improvements to the criminal justice system.

Brevard County has been allocated \$360,441.00 of these funds for use by the Brevard County Sheriff's Office, the Office of the Brevard County State Attorney, and the Brevard County Court Alternative Services. Projects proposed by these agencies include:

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

<u>Implementing Agency</u>	<u>Proposed Project</u>	<u>Federal Dollar Allocation</u>
Brevard County Court Alternative Services	Court Alternative Services Drug Testing Laboratory III	\$ 15,000.00
Office of the State Attorney	Teen Court II Elder Service Unit I Repeat Offender Team (ROT) III	\$104,000.00
Brevard County Sheriff's Office	Brevard County Sheriff/Police Athletic Leagues Program IV	<u>\$241,441.00</u> \$360,441.00

Mrs. Palmer pointed out that the lion's share of the funding is going to PAL. She asked for additional information on how many young people are being assisted by PAL and added that she believes some of the funding could be directed to drug treatment.

Moved by Poole/Hand to authorize the Mayor to indicate the City of Melbourne's endorsement of the proposed projects. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Hill stated that he would try to get additional information on PAL.

20. COUNCIL ACTION RE: Board Appointments

- a. Building and Construction Advisory Committee – two regular members

Vice Mayor Palmer called for nominations. Mrs. Walker nominated Tom Luce.

Moved by C. Palmer/Hand to close the nominations. Motion carried unanimously.

Moved by Walker/Hand to reappoint Tom Luce. Motion carried unanimously.

Moved by C. Palmer/Poole to reappoint John Wayne Black. Motion carried unanimously.

(Terms: 5/27/00 – 5/26/03)

- b. Downtown Redevelopment Committee – one alternate member

Mrs. Poole nominated Patty Goffinet and Mrs. Palmer nominated Robert Miller. Council closed the nominations.

Mrs. Poole stated that Patty Goffinet lives on Helen Street in Downtown Melbourne. She and her husband have improved a home built in the 1920's; they have an interest in the Downtown Redevelopment Area.

CITY OF MELBOURNE, FLORIDA
MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING BEFORE CITY COUNCIL
MAY 9, 2000

Mrs. Palmer referenced Mr. Miller's resume and noted that he has an active interest in four businesses in Downtown Melbourne. She commented that he also has the background and the interest.

The roll call vote was:

Goffinet: Poole and E. Palmer

Miller: Walker, C. Palmer, and Hand

No action; four votes required.

The question was called. The roll call vote was:

Goffinet: Poole

Miller: Walker, C. Palmer, Hand, and E. Palmer

Appointed: Robert Miller (5/9/00 – 9/20/02, unexpired three-year term)

21. PETITIONS, REMONSTRANCES AND COMMUNICATIONS

None.

22. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Poole/Walker to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 9:32 p.m.

City Clerk – 5/16/00

Approved by Council: _____